

Name:	<u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4304
Title of the Manuscript:	An Overview of Some Biological Aspects and Status of Sea Turtle Nesting along the Indian Coastline
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript entitled “An Overview of Some Biological Aspects and Status of Sea Turtle Nesting along the Indian Coastline” shows review about sea turtle biology along Indian coast. It is well written, clear and informative. The only thing which should be changed is to put more new reference (I mean references after 2016) as a lot of old references are cited in the text.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• We reply here to the valuable comments suggested by the reviewers, we have made all the necessary changes as suggested by the reviewer.• As suggested by the reviewer, recent references have been added in the reference section.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	-
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	-
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Suggestions in the manuscript	As suggested by the reviewer, all the suggestions have been carried out in the revised MS file.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	/	-

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes, some suggestions are in the manuscript	As suggested by the reviewer, all the suggestions have been carried out in the revised MS file.
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	-
Optional/General comments		-

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	